Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/573,501	DANTLGRABER, JOERG		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745		

	r. Daniel Lopez	3743	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date 					
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37 t	5FR 41.37(a).			
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	icalise		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered becaus (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 			ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-23</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but 	tion of Annual will not	he entored			
 In elamodavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1),

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. 🔲 Other:		

/F. Daniel Lopez/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

Amended claim 13 has the limitations of claim 16 added to it, but several limitations deleted from it and added as new claims 24 and 25, raising new issues..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the "blanking and nibbling machine" has support in the specification on page 15 line 6. The specification is not consistent with itself, since in 2 places (abstract, page 15 line 6) it discusses a blanking and nibbling machine; and in 3 other places (page 1 line 12-13; page 2 line 8; page 5 line 13), it discusses a blanking or nibbling machine. Therefore, the specification should be objected to and the claim rejected based on the objection to the specification.

The question arises as to what a blanking and nibbling machine is and how it is different from a blanking or nibbling machine. A blanking or nibbling machine is understood by the examiner as being a machine that does blanking or it does nibbling, or it can be reconfigured from doing blanking to doing nibbling. A blanking and nibbling machine is understood by the examiner as being a machine that does blanking and nibbling at the same time. Perhaps, applicant is meaning a blanking or nibbling machine whenclaiming a blanking and nibbling machine.